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Background

• Huddersfield’s non/low usage project
  – Data from 700+ courses from 2005 onwards

Non/Low Use Project
digging deeper into data
Analysis of the results consistently revealed a correlation between e-resource use, book borrowing and student attainment.

This appears to be the case across all disciplines.
Results

- Not a cause and effect relationship
- Never proven statistically significant
- Potential for collaboration on future projects

http://www.flickr.com/photos/atoach/3344411469/
• Obtained funding from the JISC Activity Data Call
• 6 month project (Feb-Jul 2011)
Library Impact Data Project

Inspiring tomorrow’s professionals
“There is a statistically significant correlation across a number of universities between library activity data and student attainment”
• Themed posts
  – The Project Plan
  – Hypothesis
  – Users
  – Benefits
  – Technical and Standards
  – Licensing & reuse of software and data
  – Wins and fails (lessons along the way)
  – Final post
Data requirements

• For each student who graduated in a given year, the following data was required:
  – Final grade achieved
  – Number of books borrowed
  – Number of times e-resources were accessed
  – Number of times each student entered the library, e.g. via a turnstile system that requires identity card access
  – School/Faculty
Legal issues

- Consultation with JISC Legal, University legal officer and data protection officer
- Ensured that any identifying information is excluded before it is handled for analysis
- Excluded any small courses to prevent identification of individuals e.g. where a course has less than 35 students and/or fewer than 5 of a specific degree level
- Received guidance from the Using OpenURL Activity Data
Data issues

• Anticipated that there may be problems in getting enough data to make the project viable
  – Potential partners were asked to confirm that they could provide at least 2 of the 3 measures of usage as well as student grades
  – Huddersfield has provided definitions on the data required and the form the data can be accepted in

• Some partners have already run into some issues with data collection, but it is felt that there is still enough information to prove the hypothesis one way or another
Initial findings

• Are we measuring apples against pears or are we really on to something?

• Probably!

http://www.flickr.com/photos/mammaoca2008/4163158549/
Can we prove the hypothesis?

• Not quite!

• Due to the data not being continuous, a correlation cannot be calculated

http://www.flickr.com/photos/26015375@N06/3715306069/
Further statistical tests (1)

- Running a Kruskal-Wallis test
  - to indicate whether there is a difference between values e.g. between levels of e-resource usage across degree results
  - THEN we analyse the data visually to check which variables to compare
Further statistical tests (2)

- Running a Mann-Whitney U test to see whether there is a significant difference between variables tested.

- Initial findings imply that there is a relationship between usage and attainment.

- And that these findings concur with previous tests using ANOVA and the Student T test.
What we think we can prove

- That the relationship and variance means that you can believe what you see
- And you can believe it across a range of data, e.g. subjects
- So library usage does impact on students attainment
Remember the disclaimer!

Not a cause and effect relationship
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Library Impact Data Project

*book loans & Athens (2009/10)*

- **First Class Honours**: Book Loans 54, Athens Logins 78
- **Second Class Honours (Upper Division)**: Book Loans 47, Athens Logins 48
- **Second Class Honours (Lower Division)**: Book Loans 36, Athens Logins 31
- **Third Class Honours**: Book Loans 34, Athens Logins 22
Linking back to non/low usage

• At this early stage, for books and e-resource usage, there appears to be a statistical significance across all partner libraries.

• If we know that there is a link between usage and attainment:
  – We can link this back to non/low usage.
Measuring Library Impact
2008/9 – library visits

15.5% of students who gained a 1st never visited the library

34% of students who gained a 3rd never visited the library
Measuring Library Impact
2008/9 – MetaLib usage

70% of those who gained a 3rd logged in to e-resources 20 times or less over 3 years

10.5% of students who gained a 1st logged in more than 180 times
15% of students who gained a 1st never borrowed a book

34% of students who gained a 3rd never borrowed a book
Profiling non/low users

- Flesh out themes from the focus groups
  - to advise on areas to work on
- Check the amount and type of contact subject teams have had with the specific courses
  - to compare library teaching hours to attainment
- Baseline questionnaire or exercise for new students
  - To establish the level of information literacy skills for new students
- Target our users by concentrating staff resources at the right point
Better use leads to better attainment
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Next steps for the project

• Finish statistical testing
• Pull out any themes from the focus groups
• Release the data on an Open Data Commons Licence
• Release a toolkit to help others benchmark their data

• Do cuts to the information budget mean that attainment will fall?
• Can we add more value by better use of resources?
  – By analysing the data in conjunction with UCAS tariff points
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