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Helsinki University Library -
The largest multidisciplinary university library in Finland
HELSINKI UNIVERSITY LIBRARY provides information and services across a number of disciplines at four university campuses:

- **Meilahti Campus Library**
  - Terkko
  - Humanities and Social sciences
  - Helsinki University Library
    - Centralised services
    - City Centre Campus Library
  - The National Library

- **Kumpula Campus Library**
  - Agroforestry, Biosciences, Pharmacy, Environmental sciences, Veterinary medicine

- **Viiikki Campus Library**

- **City Centre Campus Library**

- **Science**
  - Kumpula Campus Library
The New City Centre Campus
Library building 2012
New Demands

- Helsinki University Library aspires to be one of the leading multidisciplinary research university libraries in the world by 2020 by developing
  - integrated library structure
  - expertise of librarians across disciplines and campus boundaries
  - new cooperation between library and research communities
Researchers´ new needs

• Organizing, archiving, restoring huge amounts of research data and as well as reconceptualizing publishing processes

• Detailed description of research data and a plan of their life span

• Regular international evaluations of research

➢ Researchers need new kinds of support services
Aim of the Project

To manage proactively the big changes in library as well as in teaching and research

- **Knotworking and Change Laboratory** are new **tools** to help the library
  - by modelling, testing in practice and evaluating requirements of a new kind of partnership model
  - by getting knowledge on the library development process including the need of space for the new library building process
- to produce rich data in collective problem-solving and collective learning which the change management requires
Knotworking

- Knotworking is a pulsating movement of tying, untying, and retying together otherwise separate and loose activity systems

- No individual or organisation has the control of coordinating the activity

- Knotworking is different from teams and network organisations which are understood as stable entities

(Engeström, Y., Engeström, R. & Vähäaho, T., 1999)
Expansive learning

- In expansive learning participants of the activity system redefine their object of activity
- In this context, librarians need to redefine the object of their work in order to serve better the research groups
- The method used is the Change Laboratory
The Change Laboratory

- CL includes sessions 5-10 weekly, follow-up period
- Mirror material (interviews before and discussions during the sessions) to stimulate the discussion and development actions
- Aim is to continue knotworking way of working after formal sessions
The Key Players

Library Pilots
- Viikki Campus Library
- City Centre Campus Library

Participating research groups
- City centre Campus
  - Cognitive Science
  - Finnish Language
  - Communication Law
  - Gender Studies
- Viikki Campus
  - Peatland Ecology
  - Cyanobacteria

Centre for Properties and Facilities
- Financier

CRADLE researchers
- Conducting the project (5)
- The Change Laboratory
### Research sites

#### Viikki Campus
- 1) Peatland ecology and 2) the Cyanobacteria Group
- 15 library professionals across organisational levels participated in 6 sessions in 2009-2010
- Unified campus, research groups more defined, neighbouring disciplines together
- Structural change merged 4 faculty libraries into Campus Library and new building in 1999

#### City Centre Campus
- 1) Cognitive science 2) Communication Law 3) Finnish Language 4) Gender Studies
- 18 library professionals across organisational levels participating in 9 sessions in 2010-2011
- No culture for research groups: definition of a research group elusive.
- Structural change merged 5 faculty libraries and undergraduate library in 2010
- New library building in 2012
Viikki: Co-creation of Data Management Plan 1/4

- The imminent need of a Cyanobacteria researcher to draw up a data management plan for a grant application
- The co-creation in mail-dialoques and in larger meetings with the librarians on issues as the shape of the data, the space needed for the preservation of the data, the metadata, the copyright and data security
- A learning process for librarians as well
- Drawing the flow-chart made the researcher think over her research plan and to improve it in terms of overlaps and vulnerabilities
The Flow-chart of the Syanobacteria Data Management Plan 2/4

Figure. Flow chart of data. The data, statistical and metadata files are deposited in the TUHAT data archive. Blue=actual samples, green=digital data, gray=data deposited in another institution than University of Helsinki. HAMBI= culture collection of University of Helsinki, NCBI= National Center for Biotechnology Information, HU12916=freestar of University of Helsinki, GTK=geological Survey of Finland, SYKE=SYKE Marine research Centre, TUHAT=data archive of University of Helsinki.
Co-creation of the data management plan: timeline 3/4

A librarian mentions the requirement of a data management plan

*Interventionist reminds about the DMP
*A researcher suggests her upcoming application as pilot
*General confusion of the contents

Two librarians attend a seminar on the contents of DMP

The researcher sends the librarians a draft of the DMP by email

Librarians ask the researcher to specify a number of points by email

The researcher, librarians and library director meet to discuss details of the DMP

Co-creation of Data Management Plan 4/4

- Aim: to produce a common model which had been tested by two cases. The other case did not occur in lack of the time needed from the researcher.

- The library produced a web-site to guide researchers to prepare a data management plan with suitable links to important references

- The web-site has been expanded to serve several disciplines
the practices in storing, coding, and describing data are random, and they vary from researcher to another

"this [random practices in storing data] may lead to not being able to use perfectly valid data due to serious shortcomings in the storing practices" (By the Head of the group)
There are no shared practices of storing data:

- Researcher 1: Yes, we store them [data] on several different computers.
- Researcher 3: On numerous computers and each researcher has their own index system that follow no common form (…)
- Researcher 2: We store everything on external hard drives.
- Researcher 3: Yes.
- Researcher 2: So if you want one particular file, then you ask a mate on which drive it is.
- Researcher 3: Yes.
- Researcher 2: And the answer is that it’s probably on that drive.
- Researcher 3: Yes.
Researcher 2: And then you look for it: it could be here, it could be there. Before I left for holiday I made back-ups of everything I’m involved in and it took the whole day when I tried to track them [files] there. I mean which computer is used for storing this and that registration and can they be found.
Co-creation of the quick-reference guide: timeline 4/4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15.10.2010</td>
<td><strong>First meeting at the dept. of Cognitive Science</strong></td>
<td><strong>Researchers:</strong> General data management problems too large to be solved here - &gt; solutions to local problems needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.10.2010</td>
<td><strong>Change Laboratory session 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.11.2010</td>
<td><strong>Change Laboratory session 3</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.11.2010</td>
<td><strong>Change Laboratory session 5</strong></td>
<td>• Library presents ideas for the Quick-reference guide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.11.2010</td>
<td><strong>Second meeting at the dept. of Cognitive Science</strong></td>
<td>• Researchers of Cognitive Science stress the increasing need for a workable solution to manage data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.11.2010</td>
<td><strong>Third meeting at the dept. of Cognitive Science</strong></td>
<td>• One researcher criticised a specific guide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **4 researchers and 2 librarians**

- **The library has contacted CSC and UH ICT to secure new collaboration channels**

- **Discussion about updated draft of the guide**

- **Researchers agree to test the guide**
Achievements

1. Service trays

2. Research data issues:
   1) Data management plan
   2) Quick-reference guide
   3) Web site

3. Strengthening the forming process of the new library

4. Assistance in strategy creation of the library

5. New way of working

Viikki, City Centre Campus, both
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