

Heading for the Open Road: Costs and Benefits of Transitions in Scholarly Communications

Michael Jubb Research Information Network

> LIBER Conference Barcelona 1 July 2011



The study and the process



The scenarios



Green OA	 funder mandates: 40-60% deposit rates institutional mandates:15-30% deposit rates
	variants: 'Green 2015' and 'Green Zero'
Delayed Access	 publisher response to demand for access 25% of articles OA on publisher platforms
	embargoes set by publishers: 12-36 months
Gold OA	I funding co-ordination and more journals
	biomed 40% of articles; S&T 15%; humanities 5%
	variants: higher & lower author-side fees (APCs)
Licence	publisher and Government desire to meet demand
	75% of articles for HE national licence
	55% of articles for health service (NHS) licence
Transactional (PPV)	publisher response to demand
	aggregation site with targeted marketing
	PPV @ \$10 (& \$5/\$1)



Annual net costs to the UK





Net cost per additional 'standardised unit of access (SUoA)'









Relative risks





Delayed access

- closest to a no-cost scenario
- low cost per additional unit of access
- high benefit-cost ratio
- plausibility?
 - why would publishers do it?
- few if any policy levers
- unlikely to bring major increases in access over next 5 years



Transactional:PPV

- potential use to target access gaps
- complementary to subscriptions and licences
 but danger of cannibalisation of existing publisher revenues
- unlikely to lead to substantial increases in access
- few if any policy levers
- OA would reduce demand



Extensions to licensing

- cost-effectiveness depends on price....
- ...but benefit-cost ratios relatively low
- high transition risks
 - upfront and continuing costs
 - difficulty of allocating costs fairly across the HE sector
- unattractive/unlikely in current fiscal climate



Green OA

- could substantially increase access
- cost-effective because infrastructure already built
- low transition risks
- risks to scholarly publishing system from potential cancellations of subscriptions
- not independently self-sustaining



Gold OA

- sustainable business/funding model
- improved economic efficiency
 - clearer price signals, lower barriers to market entry
- potential for
 - high benefit-cost ratios
 - savings in net costs to UK and to university sector

provided that

- author-side fees (APCs) are low enough
 - £2000 (€2275) on average
- transition risks
 - publisher pricing
 - funding 'hump'
 - UK/global take-up



Summary

- OA scenarios offer most scope for policymakers to increase access cost-effectively
- expand use of repositories (Green) but with caution about embargo periods and risk to subscriptions
- Gold OA is preferable *provided that*
 - average APCs remain below c£2k (€2275)
 - UK uptake matches global rates
 - hybrid models do not increase costs



Reports and other papers

<u>http://www.rin.ac.uk/trans-dynamics</u>

Credits

- CEPA (Daniel Hulls, Joel Cook, David Jones
- Mark Ware Consulting
- RIN, JISC, Publishing Research Consortium, Research Libraries UK, Wellcome Trust



Thank you Questions?

Michael Jubb www.rin.ac.uk